

Higher Order Log-Concavity in Euler's Difference Table

William Y.C. Chen¹, Cindy C.Y. Gu², Kevin J. Ma³ and Larry X.W. Wang⁴

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

¹ chen@nankai.edu.cn, ² guchunyan@cfc.nankai.edu.cn,

³ majun@cfc.nankai.edu.cn, ⁴ wxw@cfc.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract. Let e_n^k be the entries in the classical Euler's difference table. We consider the array $d_n^k = e_n^k/k!$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where d_n^k can be interpreted as the number of k -fixed-points-permutations of $[n]$. We show that the sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is 2-log-concave and reverse ultra log-concave for any given n .

Keywords: log-concavity, 2-log-concavity, reverse ultra log-concavity, Euler's difference table

Classification: 05A20; 33F10

1 Introduction

Euler introduced the difference table $(e_n^k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ defined by $e_n^n = n!$ and

$$e_n^{k-1} = e_n^k - e_{n-1}^{k-1}, \quad (1.1)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$; see [5]. The combinatorial interpretation of the numbers e_n^k was found by Dumont and Randrianarivony [6]. Clarke, Han and Zeng [5] further gave a combinatorial interpretation of the q -analogue of Euler's difference table, and this interpretation has been extended by Faliharimalala and Zeng [8, 9] to the wreath product $C_\ell \wr S_n$ of the cyclic group with the symmetric group.

It is easily seen from the recurrence (1.1) that $k!$ divides e_n^k . Thus we can define the integers $d_n^k = e_n^k/k!$. Rakotondrajao [14] has shown that d_n^k counts the number of k -fixed-points-permutations of $[n]$, where a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is called k -fixed-points-permutation if there are no fixed points in the last $n-k$ positions and the first k elements are in different cycles. Based on this combinatorial interpretation, Rakotondrajao [15] has found bijective proofs for the following two recurrence relations for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$d_n^k = (n-1)d_{n-1}^k + (n-k-1)d_{n-2}^k, \quad (1.2)$$

$$d_n^k = nd_{n-1}^k - d_{n-2}^{k-1}. \quad (1.3)$$

Notice that $d_n^0 = 1$. Recently, Eriksen, Freij and Wästlund [7] have generalized these formulas to fixed point λ -colored permutations. Employing (1.2) and (1.3), we can easily

derive the following recurrence relation for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$d_n^k = d_{n-1}^{k-1} + (n-k)d_{n-1}^k. \quad (1.4)$$

Using the above recurrence relations (1.2) (1.3) and (1.4), we shall prove that the sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ has higher order log-concave properties. To be more specific, we shall show that this sequence is 2-log-concave and reverse ultra log-concave for any $n \geq 1$.

2 2-log-concavity

In this section, we shall show that the sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is 2-log-concave for any $n \geq 1$. Recall that a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of real numbers is said to be log-concave if $a_k^2 \geq a_{k+1}a_{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 1$; see Stanley [16] and Brenti [2]. From the recurrence relation (1.4), it is easy to prove by induction that the sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is log-concave.

Theorem 2.1 *For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$(d_n^k)^2 \geq d_n^{k-1}d_n^{k+1},$$

that is, the sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is log-concave.

The notion of high order log-concavity was introduced by Moll [13]; see also, [10]. Given a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 0}$, define the operator $\mathfrak{L}$ as $\mathfrak{L}\{a_k\} = \{b_k\}$, where

$$b_k = a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1}.$$

The log-concavity of $\{a_k\}$ becomes the positivity of $\mathfrak{L}\{a_k\}$. If the sequence $\mathfrak{L}\{a_k\}$ is not only positive but also log-concave, then we say that $\{a_k\}$ is 2-log-concave. In general, we say that $\{a_k\}$ is l -log-concave if $\mathfrak{L}^l\{a_k\}$ is positive, and that $\{a_k\}$ is infinite log-concave if $\mathfrak{L}^l\{a_k\}$ is positive for any $l \geq 1$. From numerical evidence, we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.2 *The sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is infinitely log-concave.*

Recently, Brändén [1] and Cardon [3] have independently proved that if a polynomial has only real and nonpositive zeros, then its Taylor coefficients form an infinite log-concave sequence. However, this is not the case of the polynomials $\sum d_n^k x^k$. For example, for $n = 2$, the polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$ does not have real roots. Nevertheless, we shall show that the sequence $\{d_n^k\}$ is 2-log concave in support of the general conjecture.

Theorem 2.3 *The sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is 2-log-concave. In other words, for $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, we have*

$$((d_n^k)^2 - d_n^{k-1}d_n^{k+1})^2 - ((d_n^{k-1})^2 - d_n^{k-2}d_n^k)((d_n^{k+1})^2 - d_n^k d_n^{k+2}) \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The idea to prove Theorem 2.3 may be described as follows. As the first step, we reformulate the left hand side of the above inequality (2.1) a cubic function f on $\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k}$ by applying the recurrence relations (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and the recurrence relation presented in the following Lemma 2.4. Then Theorem 2.3 is equivalent to the assertion that $f \geq 0$ on the interval

$$I = [n + \frac{n-k}{n}, n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}],$$

since it can be verified that for $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq k \leq n-2$,

$$\frac{n-k}{n} \leq \frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \leq n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, when $f(x)$ is considered as a continuous function on x , we will be able to show that $f'(x) < 0$ for $x \in I$ and

$$f\left(n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}\right) \geq 0.$$

Hence we deduce that $f > 0$ on the interval I so that Theorem 2.3 is immediate.

As mentioned above, the following recurrence relation will be needed in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.4 *For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$d_n^{k-1} = (k+1)(n-k)d_n^{k+1} - (n-2k+1)d_n^k. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. First, it is easy to establish the following recurrence relation for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$d_n^{k-1} = kd_n^k - d_{n-1}^{k-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

By (1.2) and (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^{k-1} &= d_{n+1}^k - (n-k+1)d_n^k \\ &= (n+1)d_n^k - d_{n-1}^{k-1} - (n-k+1)d_n^k \\ &= kd_n^k - d_{n-1}^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. By (1.4), (2.4), for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^k &= (k+1)d_n^{k+1} - d_{n-1}^k \\ &= (k+1)d_n^{k+1} - \left(\frac{1}{n-k}d_n^k - \frac{1}{n-k}d_{n-1}^{k-1}\right) \\ &= (k+1)d_n^{k+1} - \frac{1}{n-k}d_n^k + \frac{1}{n-k}(kd_n^k - d_n^{k-1}) \\ &= (k+1)d_n^{k+1} - \frac{k-1}{n-k}d_n^k - \frac{1}{n-k}d_n^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$d_n^{k-1} = (k+1)(n-k)d_n^{k+1} - (n-2k+1)d_n^k,$$

as desired. ■

In order to prove (2.2), we first give a lower bound for d_{n+1}^k/d_n^k .

Lemma 2.5 *For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have*

$$\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \geq n + \frac{n-k}{n}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . It is clear that (2.5) holds for $n=1$ and $n=2$. We now assume that (2.5) holds for positive integers less than n . By the recurrence (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} &= \frac{nd_n^k + (n-k)d_{n-1}^k}{d_n^k} \\ &= n + (n-k)\frac{d_{n-1}^k}{d_n^k} \\ &= n + (n-k)\frac{d_{n-1}^k}{(n-1)d_{n-1}^k + (n-k-1)d_{n-2}^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.5) can be recast as

$$(n-1) + (n-k-1)\frac{d_{n-2}^k}{d_{n-1}^k} \leq n.$$

So it suffices to check that

$$\frac{d_{n-1}^k}{d_{n-2}^k} \geq n-k-1.$$

Since $n \geq 3$, by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{n-1}^k}{d_{n-2}^k} &\geq n-2 + \frac{n-2-k}{n-2} \\ &= n-1 - \frac{k}{n-2} \\ &\geq n-k-1. \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

Next we give an upper bound for d_{n+1}^k/d_n^k .

Lemma 2.6 For $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, we have

$$\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \leq n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. It follows from the recurrence (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} &= n + (n-k) \frac{d_{n-1}^k}{d_n^k} \\ &= n + (n-k) \frac{d_{n-1}^k}{(n-1)d_{n-1}^k + (n-k-1)d_{n-2}^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.6) can be rewritten as

$$(n-1) + (n-k-1) \frac{d_{n-2}^k}{d_{n-1}^k} \geq \frac{n^2}{n+1},$$

that is,

$$\frac{d_{n-1}^k}{d_{n-2}^k} \leq (n+1)(n-k-1). \quad (2.7)$$

By recurrence (1.3) for $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, we see that

$$\frac{d_{n-1}^k}{d_{n-2}^k} \leq n-1,$$

which implies (2.7). This completes the proof. ■

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proof. It is easy to check that the theorem holds for $n = 4, 5, 6$ and $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. So we may assume that $n \geq 7$.

We claim that the left hand side of (2.1) can be expressed as a cubic function f on $\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k}$. By the recurrences (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (2.3), we can derive the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^{k-2} &= (n-k+1)(n-k+3)d_n^k - (n-2k+3)d_{n+1}^k, \\ d_n^{k-1} &= d_{n+1}^k - (n-k+1)d_n^k, \\ d_n^{k+1} &= \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k)} (d_{n+1}^k - kd_n^k), \\ d_n^{k+2} &= \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)(n-k-1)(n-k)} ((n-2k-1)d_{n+1}^k + (n+k^2)d_n^k). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$A \cdot \left(C_3(n, k) (d_{n+1}^k)^3 + C_2(n, k) (d_{n+1}^k)^2 (d_n^k) + C_1(n, k) (d_{n+1}^k) (d_n^k)^2 + C_0(n, k) (d_n^k)^3 \right) \geq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{d_n^k}{(k+1)^2(n-k)^2(k+2)(n-k-1)}, \\ C_3(n, k) &= -n^2 - 5n + 6k + 6, \\ C_2(n, k) &= n^3 + n^2k + 5n^2 + 3nk - 10k^2 + n - 16k - 6, \\ C_1(n, k) &= n^2 - 2n + 14k + 14k^2 + n^3 + 10nk^2 - 10n^2k - n^3k - 3nk, \\ C_0(n, k) &= -4n^2 - 12k^2 - 12k^3 + 10nk + 18nk^2 - 9n^2k + n^2k^2 - n^3k. \end{aligned}$$

Since d_n^k are positive integers, it suffices to show that

$$C_3(n, k) \left(\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \right)^3 + C_2(n, k) \left(\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \right)^2 + C_1(n, k) \left(\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \right) + C_0(n, k) \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

We now consider the function

$$f(x) = C_3(n, k)x^3 + C_2(n, k)x^2 + C_1(n, k)x + C_0(n, k),$$

with

$$f'(x) = 3C_3(n, k)x^2 + 2C_2(n, k)x + C_1(n, k). \quad (2.9)$$

We are going to show that $f'(x) < 0$, for $2 \leq x \leq n-1$. As will be seen, the quadratic function $f'(x)$ has a zero in the interval $[-1, k]$ and a zero in the interval $[k, n]$. At the point $x = 1$, we have

$$f'(-1) = -(k+1)(n^3 + 12n^2 - 10nk + 19n - 34k - 30).$$

Since for $n \geq 7$ and $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &n^3 + 12n^2 - 10nk + 19n - 34k - 30 \\ &\geq n^3 + 12n(k+2) + 19n - 30 - 10nk - 34k \\ &\geq (n^3 - 30) + 2nk + (43n - 34k) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $f'(-1) < 0$. Similarly, for $x = k$, we obtain that

$$f'(k) = (k+1)(n-k)(n^2 + n + 2k - 2) > 0.$$

Moreover, for $x = n$, we have

$$f'(n) = -(n-k)(n^3 + 4n^2 - 10nk + 14k - 21n + 14). \quad (2.10)$$

To prove $f'(n) < 0$, it is sufficient to show that for $2 \leq k \leq n-2$,

$$n^3 + 4n^2 - 10nk + 14k - 21n + 14 > 0.$$

We have two cases for the ranges of k . For $2 \leq k \leq n-3$, we have

$$n^3 + 4n^2 - 10nk + 14k - 21n + 14 = n((n-3)^2 + 10(n-k-3)) + 14k + 14 > 0,$$

Meanwhile, for $k = n-2$,

$$n^3 + 4n^2 - 10nk + 14k - 21n + 14 = n(n-3)^2 + 4n - 14 > 0.$$

Thus $f'(n) < 0$ is valid for $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. Then we reach the conclusion that $f'(x)$ has a zero in the interval $[-1, k]$ and a zero in the interval $[k, n]$.

We continue to demonstrate that $f'(x) < 0$ in the interval I . By Lemma 2.5, for $k \geq 2$ we have

$$\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \geq n + \frac{n-k}{n} > n,$$

which means that $f'(x)$ has no zero on the interval I . Since $n \geq k+2$, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(n, k) &= -(n^2 + 5n - 6k - 6) \\ &\leq -((k+2)^2 + 5(k+2) - 6k - 6) \\ &\leq -(k^2 + 3k + 8) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(n) < 0$, we see that $f'(x) < 0$ in the interval I , as expected. In other words, $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing on this interval.

Up to now, we have shown that $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing on the interval $I = [n + \frac{n-k}{n}, n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}]$. So it remains to prove that

$$f\left(n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}\right) > 0.$$

Since

$$f\left(n + \frac{n-k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2}\right) = \frac{h(k)(n-k)^2}{n^6},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) &= (-10n^4 - 26n^3 - 28n^2 - 18n - 6)k^2 + (-n^6 + 20n^5 + 27n^4 + 19n^3 - 7n - 6)k \\ &\quad + (n^7 - 10n^6 - 4n^5 - 4n^4 + 9n^3 + 7n^2 + 6n). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the proof will be complete as long as we can show that $h(k) \geq 0$ for $n \geq 7$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$.

Regard $h(x)$ as a continuous function on x , that is,

$$h(x) = (-10n^4 - 26n^3 - 28n^2 - 18n - 6)x^2 + (-n^6 + 20n^5 + 27n^4 + 19n^3 - 7n - 6)x + (n^7 - 10n^6 - 4n^5 - 4n^4 + 9n^3 + 7n^2 + 6n).$$

Since the leading coefficient $-10n^4 - 26n^3 - 28n^2 - 18n - 6$ of $h(x)$ is negative, we only need to prove that $h(2) > 0$ and $h(n - 1) > 0$. For $n \geq 7$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(n - 1) &= n(n^5 - 3n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2 + 2n + 1) \\ &= n(n^3(n - 1)(n - 2) + 2n^2 + 2n + 1) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(2) &= n^7 - 12n^6 + 36n^5 + 10n^4 - 57n^3 - 105n^2 - 80n - 36 \\ &= n^5(n - 5)(n - 7) + n^4(n - 6) + 16n^3(n - 7) + 55n^2(n - 7) \\ &\quad + 80n(n - 1) + 200n^2 - 36 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have confirmed that $h(k) > 0$ for $n \geq 7$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

3 The reverse ultra log-concavity

This section is concerned with the reverse ultra log-concavity of d_n^k . Recall that sequence $\{a_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is called ultra log-concave if $\{a_k / \binom{n}{k}\}$ is log-concave; see Liggett [12]. This condition can be restated as

$$k(n - k)a_k^2 - (n - k + 1)(k + 1)a_{k-1}a_{k+1} \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

It is well known that if a polynomial has only real zeros, then its coefficients form an ultra log-concave sequence. As noticed by Liggett [12], if a sequence $\{a_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is ultra log-concave, then the sequence $\{k!a_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ is log-concave.

In comparison with ultra log-concavity, a sequence is said to be reverse ultra log-concave if it satisfies the reverse relation of (3.1), that is,

$$k(n - k)a_k^2 - (n - k + 1)(k + 1)a_{k-1}a_{k+1} \leq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Chen and Gu [4] have shown the Boros-Moll polynomials have this reverse ultra log-concave property. We shall show that the sequence $\{d_n^k\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ also possesses this property.

Theorem 3.1 For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$\frac{d_n^{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}} \cdot \frac{d_n^{k+1}}{\binom{n}{k+1}} \geq \left(\frac{d_n^k}{\binom{n}{k}} \right)^2,$$

or equivalently,

$$(n-k+1)(k+1)d_n^{k-1}d_n^{k+1} \geq k(n-k)(d_n^k)^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. According to the recurrence relations (1.4) and (2.3), we find that (3.3) can be reformulated as

$$(n-k+1) \left(\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \right)^2 - (n-k+1)(n+1) \left(\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} \right) + k(2n-2k+1) \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The discriminant of the quadratic polynomial of the left side of (3.4) in d_{n+1}^k/d_n^k equals

$$\Delta = ((n-k+1)(n+1))^2 - 4k(n-k+1)(2n-2k+1).$$

We claim that $\Delta > 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Put

$$f(k) = \Delta = 8k^2 - (n^2 + 10n + 5)k + (n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1).$$

Since $n \geq k+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(k) &= 16k - (n^2 + 10n + 5) \\ &= -(n^2 + 10n - 16k + 5) \\ &\leq -((k+1)^2 + 10(k+1) - 16k + 5) \\ &= -(k-2)^2 - 12 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f(k)$ is monotone decreasing for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Furthermore,

$$f(n-1) = 2((n-2)^2 + 3) > 0.$$

Thus, $\Delta > 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Consequently, the quadratic function has two distinct real zeros. If we can show that for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, d_{n+1}^k/d_n^k is larger than the maximal zero, then (3.4) holds since $n-k+1 > 0$. Thus we still have to show that

$$\frac{d_{n+1}^k}{d_n^k} > \frac{(n-k+1)(n+1) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(n-k+1)} = \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(n-k+1)} \quad (3.5)$$

In view of (2.5), we see that (3.5) can be deduced from the following inequality

$$n + \frac{n-k}{n} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(n-k+1)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(n - k + 1)(n^2 + n - 2k) \geq n\sqrt{\Delta}.$$

Since both sides are positive, we can transform the above relation into the following form

$$\left((n - k + 1)(n^2 + n - 2k)\right)^2 \geq n^2 \Delta.$$

Evidently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((n - k + 1)(n^2 + n - 2k)\right)^2 - n^2 \Delta \\ &= (n - k + 1) \left(4n^2 k(2n - 2k + 1) - 4k(n - k + 1)(n^2 + n - k)\right) \\ &= 4k(n - k + 1)(n - k)(n^2 - n + k - 1) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. This completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education, and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] P. Brändén, Iterated sequences and the geometry of zeros, arXiv:math.CO/0909.1927.
- [2] F. Brenti, Unimodal, log-concave, and Pólya frequency sequences in combinatorics, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 413 (1989), 1–106.
- [3] D. Cardon, in preparation.
- [4] W.Y.C. Chen and C.C.Y. Gu, The reverse ultra log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (2009), 3991–3998.
- [5] R.J. Clarke, G.N. Han and J. Zeng, A combinatorial interpretation of the Seidel generation of q -derangement numbers, Ann. Combin., 1 (1997), 313–327.
- [6] D. Dumont and A. Randrianarivony, Dérangements et ombres de Genocchi, Discrete Math., 132 (1994), 275–301.
- [7] N. Eriksen, R. Freij and J. Wästlund, Enumeration of derangements with descents in prescribed positions, Electron. J. Combin., 16 (2009), #R32.
- [8] H.L.M. Faliharimalala and J. Zeng, Derangements and Euler’s difference table for $C_\ell \wr S_n$, Electron. J. Combin., 15 (2008), #R65.

- [9] H.L.M. Faliharimalala and J. Zeng, Fix-Euler-Mahonian statistics on wreath products, arXiv:math.CO/0810.2731.
- [10] M. Kauers and P. Paule, A computer proof of Moll's log-concavity conjecture, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007) 3847–3856.
- [11] D.C. Kurtz, A note on concavity properties of triangular arrays of numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 13 (1972), 135–139.
- [12] T.M. Liggett, Ultra logconcave sequences and negative dependence, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 79 (1997), 315–325.
- [13] V.H. Moll, Combinatorial sequences arising from a rational integral, Online Journal of Analytic Combin., 2 (2007), #4.
- [14] F. Rakotondrajao, On Euler's difference table, 19th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin, 2007.
- [15] F. Rakotondrajao, k -fixed-points-permutations, Integers, 7 (2007), #A36.
- [16] R.P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, in Graph Theory and Its Applications: East and West, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 576 (1989), 500–535.